





Nottingham **University Hospitals NHS Trust** 

# **Quantifying White Matter Lesions in Multiple Sclerosis: A Multiple Technique** Comparison

J. Thorpe <sup>1</sup>, S.E Hobbs<sup>2</sup>, E. Gordon<sup>3</sup>, P. Tran<sup>3</sup>, N. Evangelou<sup>2</sup>, P.S Morgan<sup>2</sup>

1. Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Medical Physics, Nottingham, United Kingdom,

2. University of Nottingham, School of Medicine, Nottingham, United Kingdom,

3. Qynapse inc., Paris, France

#### Introduction

Volumetric measurement of white matter (WM) lesions is important in the diagnosis and treatment of multiple sclerosis (MS). Manual delineation is time consuming and demonstrates operator dependant variability. Semi and fully automated methods have been developed and widely used in research, but direct comparisons are limited.

## Aims

To quantify variability across WM lesion volumes from two semi-automated software packages JIM 7.0 (Xinapse Systems, Northants, UK) and 3D Slicer, and one fullyautomated FDA-cleared and CE-marked method QyScore®

#### **Results & Discussion**

Mean (std) lesion volumes were 11.38 (8.00), 18.39 (12.65), and 26.38 (18.06) ml for 3D Slicer, QyScore® and JIM respectively. Average user-input time (minutes) was <2 for QyScore® and >30 for both JIM and 3D Slicer.

## JIM & QyScore®

Bland-Altman analysis showed a percentage bias of +38% (167% CI) between JIM and QyScore®. Visual assessment suggests this is largely driven by erroneous grey matter inclusion using JIM. In the most discrepant cases QyScore® produced the most representative WM segmentations. An additional consideration with semiautomated software is user dependency.



# Method

Total lesion volume was calculated for 44 MS research patients (mean age=53 (range 36-65), 16M/28F, 16 Primary Progressive/ 28 Secondary Progressive) using JIM, 3D Slicer, and QyScore®

Comparisons were between software were performed by calculating T2W FLAIR by JIM and QyScore®

#### **3D Slicer & JIM/QyScore**®

Correlation coefficients were calculated with greater similarity found between 3D Slicer and QyScore® in determining relative lesion volume compared to JIM. Bland-Altman analysis indicated significant discrepancy between all three methods with the difference between regression and bias results highlighting the challenges in delineating WM lesions across a typical pathological range.



linear regression and using the Bland-Altman method.

Visual assessment of the results from a subset of the cases was conducted by experienced image analysts to identify sources of discrepancy with neuroradiologist review pending.

# Conclusions

QyScore® produces fast, accurate and reproducible quantification of MS lesions. Choice of method significantly impacts lesion volumes and ongoing work to better characterise this variability is key for precision and efficacy in MS clinical decision making.