Brain volumes alterations in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis patients: comparison to **QyScore®** normative data

Philippe Tran[1,2], Urielle Thoprakarn[1], Clarisse Longo dos Santos[1], François Cotton[3], Jean-Baptiste Martini[1], Enrica Cavedo[1]

1 *Qynapse, Paris France (*https://www.qynapse.com/*)*
2 *Equipe-projet ARAMIS, ICM, CNRS UMR 7225, Inserm U1117, Sorbonne Université UMR_S 1127, Centre Inria de Paris, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière Charles Foix, Faculté de Médecine Sorbonne Université, Paris, France*
3 *Faculty of Medicine, Claude-Bernard Lyon 1 University, 69000 Lyon, France; Service de radiologie and Laboratoire d'anatomie de Rockefeller, centre hospitalier Lyon Sud, hospices civils de Lyon, 69000 Lyon, France*

EAN2021 - ePoster EPO-483, June 20th 2021

INTRODUCTION
BACKGROUND

Brain atrophies have been suggested as **surrogate markers** of neuroaxonal loss and disease progression of Multiple Sclerosis (MS).[1,2]

Automatic brain volumes measurements on a large-scale healthy controls (HC) database provides comparison data and enables the assessment of the presence of atrophy in clinical routine patients.

The use of a **normative reference database** of HC is essential to detect the neurodegeneration and axonal loss associated with a pathological state.

Objectives

To assess MRI differences in brain structures volumes between **relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS) and HC individuals,** in comparison to a large-scale normative database of HC.

[1]Vollmer et al. 2015, [2]De Stefano et al. 2016

INTRODUCTION
NORMATIVE REFERENCE DATABASE

Comparison of **individual data against healthy norms** has been used in clinical practice for years.[1]

A normative reference database supports the precise indication of an individual's brain structure volume using an **age-based reference chart**.

The comparison with thousands of age- matched HC within a database will significantly increase the clinical value of volumetric information.



Total brain and hippocampus volume normalized to the intracranial volume (ICV) ratio from a MS patient represented as a black dot.

[1]Department of Health and Human Services, CDC 2010 "2000 CDC Growth Charts for the UnitedStates: Methods and Development" (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/series/sr_11/sr11_246.pdf)

MATERIALS & METHODS

Data description

Database	QyScore® normative database	Independent test datasets	
		HC	RRMS
N	1292	29	27
Age mean ± sd [min - max]	55.6 ± 20.7 [20 - 90]	41.6 ± 11.4 [22 - 54]	40.3 ± 7.3 [29 - 50]
Sex M/F	595/697	10/19	8/19

The normative database is composed of MRI data acquired using **Siemens, GE and Philips at 1.5T and 3.0T scanners.**

Segmentation methods

- Automated quantification by QyScore®
- Whole-brain, grey matter, white matter and hippocampus volumes
- Volumes normalized by intracranial volume (%ICV)

Metrics

Comparison of **HC** and **RRMS** against age-matched volumes in the normative database

- Standard **z-scores**
- **Volume** (%ICV)

Statistical analysis

- Group differences were evaluated with a **two tailed t-test**

RESULTS
WHOLE BRAIN

Group effect

Standard z-scores in the RRMS group were **significantly smaller** than HC group

Age effect

- RRMS patients under age 45 tend to have **smaller whole brain volume** (< 5th percentile) than HC individuals of a similar age (p = 0.025).
- **No significant differences** were present between HC and RRMS patients older than 46 years old.





RESULTS
GREY MATTER, WHITE MATTER & HIPPOCAMPUS

Group effect

Grey and White matter standard z-scores in the RRMS group were **significantly smaller** than the HC group and **did not differ** for the hippocampus structure.

Age effect

- RRMS patients under age 45 tend to have **smaller white matter volume** (< 5th percentile) than HC individuals of a similar age (p = 0.003).
- **No significant differences** were present between HC and RRMS patients older than 46 years old.

Grey matter



White matter



Hippocampus









CONCLUSIONS

In our study, RRMS patients:

- showed **reduced** whole brain, grey and white matter volumes in comparison with HC
- under 45 y.o tend to have **smaller** whole brain and white matter volumes (< 5th percentile, $p < 0.05$) in comparison with HC of a similar age.

The comparisons to normative reference data provided through QyScore® **are in line** with previously reported results[1,2,3,4] on RRMS patients tissue atrophies.

The age-related findings are in line with a recent study[1] indicating that the whole brain atrophy in MS patients up to **30-40 years old** is **MS specific,** while the atrophies observed in older patients are more due to normal brain aging.

The use of a normative database for the interpretation of brain volumetric analysis, such as the QyScore® normative database, could improve the individual assessment of brain neurodegeneration in RRMS patients in the clinical routine setting

Acknowledgements:

- Dr Delphine Lamargue-Hamel: PI of the REACTIV study
- Dr Mathilde Deloire, research clinical coordinator of the REACTIV study
- Pr Aurélie Ruet, team leader of the REACTIV study

[1]Azevedo et al., 2019 [2]Vollmer et al. 2015, [3]De Stefano et al. 2016 [4]Bergsland et al. 2017